4887 Riverview Drive Bridgeport, Mi 48722 February 10, 2009

The Honorable Robert D. Drain United States Bankruptcy Court Southern District of New York One Bowling Green New York, NY 10004-1408

Re: DELPHI CORPORATION, et al., Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)

OBJECTION OF James V. Accetta TO DEBTORS' MOTION TO CONFIRM DEBTORS' AUTHORITY TO TERMINATE EMPLOYER-PAID-POST-RETIREMENT HEALTH CARE BENEFITS AND EMPLOYER-PAID-POST – RETIREMENT LIFE INSURANCE BEBEFITS FOR CERTAIN (A) SALARIED EMPLOYEES AND (B) RETIREES AND THEIR SURVIVING SPOUSES (SALARIED OPEB TERMINATION MOTION)

I, James V. Accetta, am a salaried retiree of Delphi Corporation. I have two objections to the Salaried OPEB Termination Motion:

I object to the short time to the Objection Deadline. Employees and retirees were notified of this motion on February 5, 2009 and the Objection Deadline has been set as February 17, 2009. Retirees were notified by a FedEx package delivered to their home. It is obvious that the Debtors' have had their army of attorneys working for some time preparing the Motion. It is only fair to offer the retirees sufficient time to prepare an objection to the motion. This is mid-winter in one of the coldest and snowiest winters that the midwest and northeast United States has experienced in the past fifty years. Many retirees are away from their home and spending time in a warmer climate (i.e., Florida, Arizona, etc). Some may be away for a week or two and others for a period of months. They had the opportunity to have their US Mail forwarded to their winter or vacation residence, but that does not mean that FedEx deliveries will be forwarded. This means that many retirees did not receive notification of the motion. Many of those that do hear of the motion will hear of it later than the February 5 notification date, allowing an even shorter time to file before the objection deadline. Judge Drain, I am asking you to extend the Objection Deadline for this motion to May 1 or later to allow all affected parties adequate time to prepare their objections.

It was not long ago that Delphi Salaried Retirees were granted Health Care Benefits for life. Then a few years ago, Delphi changed their policy such that retiree Health Care Benefits would cease at age 65 when the retiree became eligible for Medicare. This policy also provided for a \$10,000 or \$20,000 (depending on retirement date) Retiree Health Reimbursement Account for retirees to help with medi-gap insurance or other health care expenses after their Delphi Health Care Benefits were terminated at age 65. That policy change was a great benefit to Delphi, but enough is enough. As they mention in their motion, one of the big factors that is affecting increasing health care costs is "the aging population of the United States." The termination of Health Care Benefits at age 65 eliminated the effect of the aging population. It will cost Delphi no more if I live to age 90 than if I live to age 72 with that policy. Any further cut in Retiree Health Care Benefits should not be approved. We are talking about a few years worth of benefits for Delphi employees and retirees hired by GM prior to 1993.

I would like the opportunity to provide a better objection to the Debtors' motion, but I do not have an army of accountants and lawyers, and I need more time than is allowed by the unreasonably short notice. Judge Drain, I am asking you again to extend the Objection Deadline for this motion to May 1 or later to allow all affected parties adequate time to prepare their objections.

Thank you,

James V. Accetta

I have also caused copies to be served on the following parties via first class mail on February 10, 2009.

Delphi Corporation Attn: General Counsel 5725 Delphi Drive Troy, MI 48098 Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP 333 West Wacker Drive Suite 2100 Chicago, IL 60606 Attn: John W. Butler, Jr.